

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,896	08/31/2001	David Botstein	P2548P1C19	5992
7590 05/16/2005			EXAMINER	
C. NOEL KA		O HARA, EILEEN B		
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE PO BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/944,896	BOTSTEIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eileen O'Hara	1646			
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 less than thirty of the specified above, the maximum state.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. l) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 22 February 2005.				
	<u> </u>				
· <u> </u>	<u> </u>				
closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>27-35 and 38-41</u> is/are pen	iding in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-34 and 38-41</u> is/are allow					
6) Claim(s) 27-31 and 35 is/are rejected					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the	- Examiner				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 August 20</u>		piected to by the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any object					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
<u> </u>	fan fanainn mainribus sa dan 05 11 0 0 4	2.440(-) (-1) (0			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
<u> </u>	documents have been received.				
		unnlication No			
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>					
·	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action		received			
		Todol Vou.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗖 Intentant	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P7	ГО-948) Paper No(:	s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office	,				
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 04262005			

Art Unit: 1646

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claims Status

1. Claims 27-35 and 38-41 are pending in the instant application. Claims 27, 35 and 38 have been amended and claims 25 and 26 have been canceled as requested by Applicant in the Paper filed February 22, 2005.

All claims are currently under examination.

## Change of Inventorship

2. The request to correct inventorship filed February 22, 2005, has been entered.

# Withdrawn Objections and Rejections

3. Any objection or rejection of record which is not expressly repeated in this action has been overcome by Applicant's response and withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 27-31 and 35 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention, for reasons of record in the previous Office Actions,

Art Unit: 1646

Paper No. 10, at pages 6-8, Paper No. 12 at pages 8-9, the paper mailed April 19, 2004 at pages 3-5, Paper mailed Nov. 17, 2004 at pages 5-8, and below.

Applicants traverse the rejection on pages 7-8 of the response, and assert that they have fully disclosed the amino acid sequence of PRO347 in SEQ ID NO: 50, and thus are in possession of and have adequately described all nucleic acids encoding SEQ ID NO: 50, as recognized by the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in *In re Wallach*. Applicants also cite MPEP at §2163.II.A.3 a.ii, which states:

Since the genetic code is widely known, a disclosure of an amino acid sequence would provide sufficient information such that one would accept that an applicant was in possession of the full genus of nucleic acids encoding a given amino acid sequence.

Applicants submit that the allelic variants encompassed by claims 27-31 are adequately described because "[g]iven the amino acid sequence, one can determine the chemical structure of all nucleic acid molecules that can serve the function of encoding that sequence". Also argued is that one of skill in the art would know how to screen and isolate sequences with the functional characteristic of being amplified in lung or colon tumors, and that claims 27-31 and 35, which encompass a nucleic acid which encodes or hybridizes to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 50 are adequately described by the combination of description and possession of a genus of nucleic acids encoding a fully disclosed protein sequence and a description of how to screen and isolate sequences with the functional characteristic of being amplified in lung or colon tumors.

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive. While it is true that given a polypeptide sequence, one of skill in the art could design any number of nucleic acid sequences that encode the polypeptide. Because the claims encompass nucleic acids

Application/Control Number: 09/944,896 Page 4

Art Unit: 1646

that encode the protein of SEQ ID NO: 50, or to nucleic acids that hybridize to a nucleic acid sequence encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 50 and are amplified in lung or colon tumors, the claims encompass naturally occurring nucleic acids that are allelic variants of the nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 49, and no allelic variants have been disclosed. While the specification is enabling for screening and isolating such allelic variants, this is a different issue from that of written description. Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19USPQ2d 1111, clearly states that "applicant" must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116). As discussed above, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed genus of nucleic acids, and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of isolating it. The compound itself is required. See Fiers v. Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016.

It is believed that all pertinent arguments have been answered.

### Conclusion

- 4.1 Claims 32-34 and 38-41 are allowed.
- 4.2 Claims 27-31 and 35 are rejected.

Art Unit: 1646

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen B. O'Hara, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0878. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached at (571) 272-0829

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 1646

Page 6

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free). Elijabet C. Kemmeres

Eileen B. O'Hara, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

ELIZABETH KEMMERER **PRIMARY EXAMINER**